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A 49-year-old female passenger was thrown against the doorframe during an automobile accident. After being pulled from the car, she opened her eyes intermittently, moaned, and had flexion withdrawal of her limbs (Glasgow Coma Scale score, 8). Her pupils were 5 mm in diameter and reactive to light. Her blood pressure was 165/85 mm Hg, her heart rate 112 beats per minute, and her breathing regular. After her spine was stabilized, she was conveyed to an intensive care unit (ICU). In the ICU, she no longer opened her eyes, had flexion posturing of her arms, and made no verbal responses (Glasgow Coma Scale score, 5). There was a contusion on her right frontal scalp but no sign of other organ injury. Computed tomography showed large regions of frontal brain contusion with surrounding edema (Fig. 1). The patient was intubated, and an external ventricular drain was placed in order to measure intracranial pressure, which was 29 mm Hg with periodic elevations to 36 mm Hg. After drainage of cerebrospinal fluid, the intracranial pressure transiently decreased to 26 mm Hg. The serum sodium concentration was 139 mmol per liter. The neurointensivist recommended an intravenous bolus infusion of 23% saline to reduce intracranial pressure and attain a serum sodium concentration of 150 mmol per liter. The patient’s weight was 55 kg.

Almost all acute and catastrophic brain diseases raise the intracranial pressure. Traumatic brain injury, intracerebral and extracerebral hematoma, cerebral infarction with brain swelling, and the generalized brain swelling of acute liver failure are among the disorders causing this physiological disturbance. Elevated intracranial pressure has consistently been associated with a poor outcome. In a review of studies of traumatic brain injury, the rate of death was 18.4% for patients with an intracranial pressure of less than 20 mm Hg but 55.6% for those with an intracranial pressure of more than 40 mm Hg.1

Estimates of the proportion of in-hospital deaths that are due to brain death range from 2.3 to 11%,2,3 but patients with elevated intracranial pressure from severe brain injury more often survive with various degrees of disability. For example, 10 to 15% of traumatic brain injuries are severe,4 and most of these cases are associated with raised intracranial pressure. Each year, approximately 80,000 persons in the United States sustain disabling head injuries,5 with an estimated financial burden of $60 billion annually for their ongoing care.

In all the above-mentioned types of acute cerebral lesions, raised intracranial pressure has a proximate relationship to survival and is often the only remediable
element of the disease. The prevention of secondary brain damage from raised intracranial pressure is therefore a central focus of neurologic intensive care.

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND EFFECT OF THERAPY**

Because the cranium is essentially a fixed vault, any increase in the volume of the brain results in an increase in intracranial pressure. Expansion of one of the intracranial components of the brain, intravascular blood, and cerebrospinal fluid must be at the expense of a reduction in another component (the Monro–Kellie hypothesis). In response to an increase in brain volume, cerebrospinal fluid is initially forced from the cranial subarachnoid spaces and lateral ventricles into the spinal subarachnoid space. As this compensatory mechanism is exhausted, pliable blood vessels are compressed and cerebral blood flow is reduced. As intracranial pressure reaches 50 to 60 mm Hg, it approaches arterial pressure in the vessels of the circle of Willis and brings about global brain ischemia, the end result of which is brain death.

The pressure–volume relationship within the cranium approximates an exponential curve, with the inflection point in adults generally ranging from 20 to 25 mm Hg; the range is lower in children because of their higher ratio of brain volume to intracranial volume (Fig. 2). This range roughly coincides with the transition from the flat portion of the elastance curve to its steep portion, where small increments in volume result in large elevations in pressure. On the basis of the studies in the aforementioned review, the goal of care has been to keep the intracranial pressure below these levels.

The fact that hyperosmolarity reduces brain volume has been known since the serendipitous observation in 1919 that the rapid intravenous administration of hypertonic salt solution and glucose caused a marked drop in cerebrospinal fluid pressure in cats. The brain parenchyma is 80% water, higher than in other organs, making brain volume very responsive to changes in water content. The effectiveness of an osmolar agent in creating a gradient for water egress depends on the extent to which the solute is excluded by the blood–brain barrier. This is summarized as the reflection coefficient of the substance, with values ranging from 0 (indicating complete permeability) to 1 (indicating complete impermeability). The reflection coefficient for sodium approaches 1.0, making it an ideal agent for inducing an osmotic gradient between blood and brain tissue. Mannitol, which has a reflection coefficient of 0.9, is also highly effective in reducing brain water content, and it has an added effect on its first pass through the brain of lowering blood viscosity and causing a reactive constriction of cerebral conductance vessels, which reduces intracerebral blood volume and intracranial pressure.

The beneficial effect of hyperosmolar therapy requires that the blood–brain barrier be intact. In regions of brain-tissue damage, as in traumatic contusion, the barrier is disrupted and allows equilibration of molecules between blood and the interstitial fluid of the brain. Thus, hyperosmolar agents exert their effect largely by removing water from the remaining normal brain tissue. It follows that hyperosmolarity reduces intracranial pressure in proportion to the volume of undamaged brain tissue and has a limited effect on brain edema surrounding a mass lesion.

Most of the reduction of brain volume occurs
during and soon after the period of maximal osmolarity induced by the infusion of a hyperosmolar agent, but sustaining the reduction in intracranial pressure depends on maintaining serum hyperosmolarity. The brain slowly accommodates to serum hyperosmolarity by raising intracellular solute concentrations through a number of means, most of which are not clearly understood. The notion of “idiogenic osmoles” was introduced 50 years ago to account for this recuperation of brain osmolarity. In response to a decrease in brain water content, astrocytes elaborate polyols, amino acids, and methylamines, thereby raising osmolarity and returning brain water to a normal volume.11,12 Neurons similarly manufacture and accumulate small protein molecules that raise intracellular osmolarity. For this reason, once a state of serum hyperosmolarity has been attained, that level must be sustained until the underlying mass decreases in size or another intervention reduces intracranial pressure. Otherwise, the gradient for water transfer is reversed, allowing a rebound increase in intracranial volume and pressure.

**Clinical Evidence**

The first case series in which hyperosmolar therapy (in the form of urea) was used to reduce intracranial pressure was reported in the 1950s.13 A decade later, mannitol was introduced for this purpose,14 and the clinical use of hypertonic saline was described in the 1990s.15 The effect of hyperosmolar therapy is indicated by a visible reduction in brain volume during craniotomy or by a drop in intracranial pressure within minutes after the infusion of a hypertonic solution at the bedside; reversal of the signs of transtentorial herniation may also be observed.16

The main treatment to reduce intracranial pressure that can be compared with hyperosmolar treatment is acute forced hyperventilation (see the Clinical Use section). The effects of hyperosmolar therapy are more consistent and longer lasting than the effects of hyperventilation. In a comparison between hyperosmolar agents, one small randomized trial used equimolar doses of mannitol and hypertonic saline in 20 patients in stable condition with a sustained intracranial pressure above 20 mm Hg after either traumatic brain injury or stroke.17 At 60 minutes after the start of the infusion, intracranial pressure was reduced by a mean of 14 mm Hg in the mannitol group and 10 mm Hg in the hypertonic-saline group. The findings in another small trial suggested that hypertonic saline is more effective than an equivalent volume of mannitol in reducing intracranial pressure in patients with traumatic brain injury,18 and repeated boluses of hypertonic saline have been effective when mannitol has failed.19 However, the small differences between the two agents in these studies are not adequate to direct a choice between them.

There have been limited studies of hyperosmolar therapy in children with traumatic brain injury. In one small trial, children receiving hypertonic saline required less frequent infusions and had fewer complications than did those receiving lactated Ringer’s solution, though the survival rate and duration of the hospital stay were similar in the two groups.20

**Clinical Use**

Raised intracranial pressure should be treated promptly. However, for patients with cranial trauma, raised intracranial pressure may be only
one aspect of an acute clinical condition that can include visceral-organ injury, shock, respiratory failure, and hypotension.

For patients who have a mass lesion, such as a large subdural hematoma, that can be removed, surgical evacuation or resection is the most expedient way to reduce intracranial pressure. When the increase in brain volume is the result of a cerebral contusion, diffuse cerebral edema, or some other condition that is unresectable, as in the case described in the vignette, surgery is generally not undertaken. Attempts to decompress the cranial contents by removing parts of the skull after traumatic brain injury have lowered intracranial pressure but have not improved the outcome, as compared with standard care.21

Several other interventions, in addition to hyperosmolar therapy, may be useful in the management of raised intracranial pressure, depending on the circumstances. Attention should first be directed at avoiding serum hypoosmolarity. This requires that intravenous solutions for resuscitation and for infusion of medications have at least the effective osmolarity of normal saline (290 mOsm per liter). Solutions such as 5% dextrose in water, 5% dextrose in half-normal saline, and lactated Ringer’s solution (calculated osmolarity, 273 mOsm per liter) are not desirable. A rapid but limited reduction in intracranial pressure can be effected by hyperventilation, which causes cerebral vasoconstriction through reduced carbon dioxide tension and alkalosis of the blood and cerebrospinal fluid. However, therapeutic hyperventilation is effective only for minutes to an hour and is largely a bridge to more durable therapy. The removal of cerebrospinal fluid through an external ventricular drain lowers intracranial pressure quickly, although the benefit depends on the amount of cerebrospinal fluid remaining in the ventricles and the effect may be of short duration. Placement of a ventricular drain is an invasive procedure that is associated with a small risk of infection but that has the advantage of allowing direct measurement of intracranial pressure. Glucocorticoids lower intracranial pressure almost exclusively by reducing edema surrounding a brain tumor but are ineffective in other conditions, such as traumatic brain injury.22 Induced hypothermia and high-dose barbiturates also lower intracranial pressure but do not improve the outcome; hypothermia is associated with cerebral edema during rewarming, and barbiturates cause systemic hypotension at the doses required for a therapeutic effect on intracranial pressure. The mainstay of intracranial-pressure reduction is therefore the rudimentary approach of shrinking the brain by exposing it to the dehydrating effects of serum hyperosmolality.

The effect of a hyperosmolar agent on brain volume is ideally assessed by measuring intracranial pressure with one of a number of devices, such as an intraventricular catheter or intraparenchymal transducer, and adjusting the amount of infused solution to maintain the desired level of intracranial or cerebral perfusion pressure (calculated as mean blood pressure minus intracranial pressure). The target intracranial pressure is typically less than 20 mm Hg, with maintenance of cerebral perfusion pressure at 50 to 70 mm Hg.

The serum osmolarity can be used as a surrogate measure of the effect of therapy with either mannitol or hypertonic saline. The initial target is an osmolarity of 300 to 320 mOsm per liter, with adjustment as the clinical circumstances and the intracranial pressure require. The osmolarity can be calculated from the levels of sodium, glucose, and blood urea nitrogen (with sodium measured in millimoles per liter and glucose and blood urea nitrogen measured in milligrams per deciliter), according to the following formula:

\[
\text{osmolarity} = (2 \times \text{sodium}) + \left(\frac{\text{glucose}}{18}\right) + \left(\frac{\text{blood urea nitrogen}}{3}\right)
\]

The clinical laboratory can also provide a measurement of serum osmolality, which is assumed to be essentially equivalent to osmolarity. The effect of either mannitol or hypertonic saline can also be assessed by measuring the serum sodium level; a value of 145 to 150 mmol per liter typically coincides with the desired effect.

Mannitol is a sugar alcohol that acts as an osmotic diuretic, causing sustained hyperosmolality by dehydration. It can be administered through a peripheral or central venous catheter. In patients with traumatic brain injury, a single dose of mannitol reduces intracranial pressure within 10 to 15 minutes, with a maximal effect of cutting the initial pressure approximately in half within 20 to 60 minutes.23 Mannitol is given in a 20% solution in boluses of 0.25 to 1.0 g per kilogram of body weight at intervals of 2 to 4 or more hours. The highest dose is used in
emergency situations, and the lowest dose is administered as a maintenance regimen. Increasing doses at shorter intervals are often required over a period of days to maintain a reduction in intracranial pressure. When elevated intracranial pressure abates, the dose of mannitol can be reduced in graduated steps.

To assess the effect of mannitol, solute and osmolarity measurements should generally be obtained 20 minutes or more after an infusion. A discrepancy between the measured and calculated serum osmolarity (osmolar gap) reflects the circulation of molecules of mannitol and indicates that the blood sample was obtained too soon after an infusion to be useful in gauging the sustained effect of mannitol as an osmotic diuretic.

Hypertonic saline increases serum osmolarity directly rather than by inducing osmotic diuresis. It is used in a 3% solution (513 mmol per liter) in boluses of approximately 150 ml, in a 7.5% solution (1283 mmol per liter) in 75-ml boluses, or in a 23.4% solution (4008 mmol per liter), which is routinely available in hospital pharmacies for intravenous solution admixture and referred to as “23%”) in 30-ml boluses. Continuous infusion of 3% saline has a modest initial effect on intracranial pressure, but the effect is transient and results in systemic fluid overload. Concentrations of more than 3% should be administered through a central venous catheter.

The amount of hypertonic saline that is required to reach a target serum sodium concentration can be approximated from the following formula:

\[
\text{sodium requirement in millimoles} = \ \text{the proportion of weight that is water, which is 0.5 for a woman and 0.6 for a man} \times \ \left(\text{desired sodium} - \text{current sodium in millimoles per liter}\right)
\]

The required volume in milliliters is then calculated as the sodium requirement divided by the sodium concentration of the chosen solution.

**ADVERSE EFFECTS**

High doses of mannitol can cause acute renal failure. The mechanism of this effect is not established but may involve intrarenal vasoconstriction combined with intravascular volume depletion. Renal failure usually resolves after removal of mannitol by means of dialysis. The limited available data suggest that acute renal injury occurs only in patients receiving more than 200 g of mannitol daily.24

Mannitol typically induces a hypokalemic, hyperchloremic alkalosis associated with volume contraction and diuresis. These changes are ameliorated if normal saline is used as a replacement fluid and a euolemic hypernatremic state is maintained. Hypertonic saline, in contrast, causes intravascular volume expansion, which may lead to congestive heart failure. Furosemide has been administered concurrently to mitigate this risk. The expected changes in the serum with hypertonic saline include mild acidosis, hyperchloremia, and hypokalemia.

As a result of mannitol infusion, and less often after infusion of hypertonic saline, elderly patients, those with diabetes, and those receiving glucocorticoids are at risk for a hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state that causes seizures, hemiparesis, or confusion. In a patient with a rapidly rising glucose level or an unexplained seizure, this diagnosis should be considered and insulin should be administered.

The potential for a rebound increase in intracranial pressure after the administration of mannitol has been discussed for decades but has proved to be difficult to detect if serum hyperosmolarity is maintained.25 The therapeutic reduction in water content occurs only in undamaged regions of the brain, so there has also been concern that hyperosmolar treatment could exaggerate pressure gradients within the cranium and cause herniation. These displacements are slight, and although they can sometimes be detected with imaging techniques, they have little clinical effect.

Hypertonic solutions cause considerable skin sloughing if they infiltrate the subcutaneous tissues, and surveillance of intravenous catheters should be undertaken to avoid this problem.

**AREAS OF UNCERTAINTY**

The question of whether control of intracranial pressure has a beneficial effect on survival and clinical outcome has tentatively been answered affirmatively.26 Similarly, hyperosmolar therapy is assumed to be beneficial on the basis of its ability to lower intracranial pressure, but no trials have been carried out in which hyperosmolar...
therapy has been omitted from the treatment regimen. Monitoring of intracranial pressure, which requires the insertion of a device into the cranial cavity, has not been validated as a method for improving the outcome, as compared with treatment that is based on a fixed regimen of hyperosmolar therapy. However, gauging the dose and interval for hyperosmolar therapy is difficult without monitoring of intracranial pressure and poses a risk of either overtreatment or undertreatment.

The ideal osmotic agent and method of administration have not been established. The patient’s blood pressure, cardiac output, and renal function often determine the choice between a dehydrating osmotic agent such as mannitol and a volume-expanding solution of sodium. The maximum serum sodium level and osmolarity that can be tolerated without causing hypotension or renal failure have not been established and depend on the patient’s initial renal function, age, and medical status. A serum osmolarity of 320 mmol per liter has been stated to be the upper limit for safety, but particularly with respect to the risk of renal failure, this limit has been challenged and has been safely exceeded in practice.27

GUIDELINES

According to the guidelines of the Brain Trauma Foundation, in cooperation with three neurosurgical societies, there is level II evidence for the effectiveness of mannitol, at doses of 0.25 to 1.0 g per kilogram of body weight, in reducing intracranial pressure.28 The guidelines state that no direction can be given regarding the use of hypertonic saline or the interval of administration of any hyperosmolar agent. A consortium of pediatric societies has adopted similar guidelines for the treatment of children with traumatic brain injury, but its members were unable to find adequate studies on the use of mannitol in children for their analysis and, as a result, could endorse only the use of hypertonic saline.29

RECOMMENDATIONS

The deteriorating clinical state of the patient in the vignette, along with the large cerebral contusions and intracranial hypertension, makes further and fatal elevations of intracranial pressure likely. In such a precarious situation, the rapid induction of hyperosmolarity by repeated boluses of hypertonic saline or mannitol is appropriate. If hypertonic saline with a concentration of more than 3% is chosen, a central venous catheter should be inserted. To attain the target sodium concentration of approximately 150 mmol per liter desired by the intensivist (using the above-mentioned formula on the basis of the patient’s weight of 55 kg and initial sodium concentration of 139 mmol per liter) requires the addition of 302 mmol of sodium and thus 589 ml of 3% saline or 75 ml of 23% saline solution. This can be achieved in a single infusion or in several more routine doses (e.g., 30 ml of 23% saline). Approximately 30 g (0.5 g per kilogram) of 20% mannitol is an alternative. Subsequent infusions should be adjusted to keep intracranial pressure below approximately 20 mm Hg. The levels of serum sodium or serum osmolarity, blood urea nitrogen, and serum creatinine should be measured at regular intervals, perhaps during each 8-hour nursing shift. Extreme hyperosmolarity, as reflected by a serum sodium concentration of more than 160 mmol per liter, is unlikely to have further benefit in reducing intracranial pressure.
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